



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,557	07/22/2002	Gerald Juergen Roth	5/1271PCT	3607

28505 7590 07/18/2003

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION
900 RIDGEBURY ROAD
P. O. BOX 368
RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877

EXAMINER

WRIGHT, SONYA N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1626

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/069,557	Applicant(s) ROTH ET AL.	
	Examiner Sonya Wright	Art Unit 1626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 13-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 13-24 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Due to the numerous variables in the claims, X, R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, etc. . . , and their widely divergent meanings, a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary:

Group I, claims 13-24, drawn to compounds of formula I, compositions, methods of use, and a process of making the same, wherein X is as defined, R1 and R2 together denote a methylenedioxy or ethylenedioxy group; R3 denotes a phenyl or naphthyl group optionally mono- or disubstituted by a fluorine, chlorine, bromine or iodine atom, by a trifluoromethyl, C1-3-alkyl or C1-3-alkoxy group; R4 and R5 are as defined; and R6 denotes a tetrazolyl group; classified in class 548 subclass 250+.

Group II, claims 13-24, drawn to compounds of formula I, compositions, methods of use, and a process of making the same wherein X is as defined, R1 and R2 together denote a methylenedioxy or ethylenedioxy group; R3 denotes a phenyl or naphthyl group optionally mono- or disubstituted by a fluorine, chlorine, bromine or iodine atom, by a trifluoromethyl, C1-3-alkyl or C1-3-alkoxy group; R4 and R5 are as defined; and R6 denotes a piperidinocarbonyl group; classified in class 546 and subclass 184+.

Group III, claims 13-24, drawn to compounds of formula I, compositions, methods of use, and a process of making the same wherein X is as defined, R1 denotes C2-3-alkenyl or C2-3-alkynyl; R3 denotes a phenyl or naphthyl group optionally mono- or disubstituted by a fluorine, chlorine, bromine or iodine atom, by a trifluoromethyl, C1-

Art Unit: 1626

3-alkyl or C1-3-alkoxy group; R4 and R5 are as defined; and R6 denotes a piperazino group optionally substituted at the imino group by a C1-3-alkyl group; classified in class 544 and subclass 224+.


Group IV, claims 13-24, drawn to compounds of formula I, compositions, methods of use, and a process of making the same wherein X is as defined, R1 denotes phosphono or di-(C1-3-alkoxy)-PO group; R3 denotes a phenyl or naphthyl group optionally mono- or disubstituted by a fluorine, chlorine, bromine or iodine atom, by a trifluoromethyl, C1-3-alkyl or C1-3-alkoxy group; R4 and R5 are as defined; and R6 denotes a fluorine, chlorine, bromine or iodine atom; classified in class 548 and subclass 413+.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. Again, this list is not exhaustive. It would be impossible to formulate a complete listing of groups under the time constraints, due to the sheer volume of subject matter instantly claimed.

Therefore, Applicant may choose to elect a single invention by identifying another specific Group not listed in the exemplary groups of the invention, or Applicant may elect a single disclosed species (compound), and the examiner will endeavor to group the same.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art.

The compounds claimed contain the following core:



which does not define a contribution over the art. See DE 19815020 submitted by Applicant. Applicant's core (supra) contains the variables R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, etc. . .

The variables are broadly defined, and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking a restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter impose a burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7922. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.


Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is *of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122* by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,557

Art Unit: 1626

Page 6


DEBORAH C. LAMSKIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

July 16, 2003